



# European Research Infrastructure supporting Smart Grid Systems Technology Development, Validation and Roll Out

Technical Report TA User Project

# TIPI-GRID Transient Stability of Interference of Photovoltaic Inverters Reactive Power control by the GRID voltage and Medium Voltage Transformer

| Grant Agreement No:        | 654113  |
|----------------------------|---|
| Funding Instrument:        | Research and Innovation Actions (RIA) – Integrating Activity (IA)   |
| Funded under:              | INFRAIA-1-2014/2015: Integrating and opening existing national and regional research infrastructures of European interest |
| Starting date of project:  | 01.11.2015  |
| Project Duration:          | 54 month  |
|                            |   |
| Contractual delivery date: | 1.11.2015   |
| Actual delivery date:      | 1.11.2015   |
| Name of lead beneficiary   |   |
| for this deliverable:      | Franz Baumgartner, ZHAW Zurich University of Applied Science<br>IEFE, Switzerland   |
| Deliverable Type:          | Report (R)  |
| Security Class:            | Public (PU)   |
| Revision / Status:         | draft   |

Project co-funded by the European Commission within the H2020 Programme (2014-2020)

#### **Document Information**

| Document Version:    | 1                                       |
|----------------------|---|
| Revision / Status:   | draft                                   |
| All Authors/Partners | Franz Baumgartner, ZHAW IEFE            |
| Distribution List    | Christian Messner, Thomas Strasser, AIT |

#### **Document History**

| Revision  | Content / Changes                       | Resp. Partner        | Date     |
|-----------|---|----------------------|----------|
| [Rev. No] | [Short description of document changes] | [Partner Short Name] | DD.MM.YY |
| [Rev. No] | [Short description of document changes] | [Partner Short Name] | DD.MM.YY |
| [Rev. No] | [Short description of document changes] | [Partner Short Name] | DD.MM.YY |

#### **Document Approval**

| Final Approval               | Name                | Resp. Partner        | Date     |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|
| [Review Task Level]          | [Given Name + Name] | [Partner Short Name] | DD.MM.YY |
| [Review WP Level]            | [Given Name + Name] | [Partner Short Name] | DD.MM.YY |
| [Review Steering Com. Level] | [Given Name + Name] | [Partner Short Name] | DD.MM.YY |

#### Disclaimer

This document contains material, which is copyrighted by the authors and may not be reproduced or copied without permission.

The commercial use of any information in this document may require a licence from the proprietor of that information.

Neither the Trans-national Access User Group as a whole, nor any single person warrant that the information contained in this document is capable of use, nor that the use of such information is free from risk. Neither the Trans-national Access User Group as a whole, nor any single person accepts any liability for loss or damage suffered by any person using the information.

This document does not represent the opinion of the European Community, and the European Community is not responsible for any use that might be made of its content.

#### **Copyright Notice**

© by the Trans-national Access User Group, 2018

#### or

#### Disclaimer

Neither the Trans-national Access User Group as a whole, nor any single person warrant that the information contained in this document is capable of use, nor that the use of such information is free from risk. Neither the Trans-national Access User Group as a whole, nor any single person accepts any liability for loss or damage suffered by any person using the information.

This document does not represent the opinion of the European Community, and the European Community is not responsible for any use that might be made of its content.

### **Copyright Notice**

© 2018 by the authors.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### **Table of contents**

| Exec       | ecutive Summary                              | 5        |  |
|------------|--|----------|--|
| 1 (        | 1 General Information of the User Project    |          |  |
| 2          | Research Motivation                          | 7        |  |
| 2.1<br>2.2 | .1 Objectives                                | 7<br>7   |  |
| 3          | State-of-the-Art/State-of-Technology         | 8        |  |
| 4          | Executed Tests and Experiments               | 9        |  |
| 4.1        | .1 Test Plan                                 | 9        |  |
| 4.2        | .2 Standards, Procedures, and Methodology    | 9        |  |
| 4.3        | .3 Test Set-up(s)                            | 10       |  |
| 4.4        | .4 Data Management and Processing            | 11       |  |
| 5          | Results and Conclusions                      | 12       |  |
| 6          | Open Issues and Suggestions for Improvements | 21       |  |
| 7          | Dissemination Planning                       | 22       |  |
| 8          | References                                   | 23       |  |
| 9          | Annex  | 24       |  |
| 9 1        | 1 List of Figures                            | 24       |  |
| 92         | 2 List of Tables                             | 24<br>24 |  |
| 0.2        |  |          |  |

## Abbreviations

- DER Distributed Energy Resource
- TA Trans-national Access
- PV Photovoltaic
- TC Time constant of Q(U) inverter control
- Q(U) reactive power Q controlled by line voltage U
- DG Distribution Grid
- OLTC On-Load-Tap-Changers

# **Executive Summary**

Photovoltaic (PV) inverters increase the line voltage in Distribution Grids (DG) by active power feed in. Today, modern PV inverters are also able to feed in reactive power to mitigate the above voltage rise. The favoured, cost effective implementation is the control of reactive power feed in according to the instantaneous measured line voltage. The stability of this decentralised Q(U) PV inverter closed-loop control is mandatory and analysed in this work. The DG operator must guarantee the voltage limits given in the regulatory framework. This is challenging due to fast changing solar irradiance, load flows and the interaction of an overlying automatic voltage control-loop of a connected sub-station. The performed tests in the AIT SmartEST laboratory resulted in very stable operation even at small Time Constants (TC) below 5 seconds of the Q(U) control parameter. As one test scenario, an abrupt rise of solar irradiance immediately followed by load drop is realized by use of the PV and load emulators. The PV inverter reduces the resulting voltage rise by increasing its reactive power, depending on the Q(U) control time constant.

It was found that even at smaller Q(U) time constants than the typical applied values of 5 or 10 seconds no sign of instability arises. It is recommended to the DG operator to apply TC of 1 or 2 seconds of Q(U) control to minimise the duration of overvoltage condition during the transient voltage adjustment. Applying irradiance conditions of a typical cloudy day in the lab test yields 45% of the time the line voltage was above a given grid voltage limit, while applying Q(U) at TC of 1 second there was no occurrence of overvoltage. In detail at Q(U) TC setting of 20 seconds the overvoltage arises 3.4% of the total period and only 0.3% at TC 5 seconds. Only stable operation conditions were found including the automatic voltage control of the transformer sub-station at a typical set-ting of 10 seconds delay time of that substation control setting. Summarized, in combination with that delay time setting the smaller Q(U) time constant of the PV inverters below 5 seconds are beneficial due to the minimised overvoltage time.

## 1 General Information of the User Project

## ERIGrid Reference: 03.007-2017

Host research infrastructure at AIT SmartEST Lab used during the period 30.04.2018 and 27.07.2018 for 14 access days and 27 stay days. Most of the this period the excellent laboratory collaboration with Christian Messner most of the time and Christian Seitl for a shorter period of time could be in the lab could be plasticised.

# 2 Research Motivation

One of the main duties of the DG in the coming years is to deliver power and stay within the permitted grid voltage levels, even during periods of high Photo-voltaic (PV) power feed into the grid. Further, costly hardware investments have to be evaluated economically and technically regarding the ability to stabilize the voltage according to the grid code [1].

An effective and low-cost approach is the control of the reactive power Q(V) and the active power P(V) of the PV inverter, by means of the actual grid voltage measured by the individual PV inverter [2,3]. Thus, no additional hardware investment in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) on the grid level is needed. The PV inverter settings of the static characteristics of the Q(V) and P(V) have to be in accordance with the grid operating code. Additionally, the time constants (dead and delay time) of these Q(V) and P(V) control activities have to be specified to guarantee a stable grid operation.

Today, this economically very attractive Q(V) method is not widely used in practice. To convince the DG operator, more effort of sophisticated tests have to be performed, including feedback loops of the tap changer controller of the transformers close to the stability boundary.

A report about such extreme laboratory tests are given in this paper, like results and analyses of stability measurements in the laboratory of PV inverters, powered by DC sources, emulating changing weather con-ditions, especially abrupt transient trigger by changing solar irradiance. Other transient inputs have been generated by different load, emulating Electric Vehicles (EV) charging stations.

# 2.1 Objectives

The PV inverter settings of the static characteristics of the Q(V) and P(V) have to be in accordance with the electrical grid operating code. Additionally, the time constants (dead and delay time) of these Q(V) and P(V) control activities have to be specified to guarantee a stable grid operation. Today, this economically very attractive Q(V) method is not widely used in practice. To convince the distribution system operator DSO, more sophisticated tests, including feedback loops of the tap changer controller of the transformers close to the stability boundary, have to be performed.

The first published results of this test series here [6], will be extended here and tested including the use of the substation [4]. The transformers medium voltage On-Load-Tap-Changers (OLTC) in the lab will complete the total analysed control loop with the PV inverter in the main focus. It will be elaborated in the paper, if different appropriate settings of the parameters are needed for each category of distribution grids and type of the static Q(V) und P(V) characteristics. A set of stable parameters are given of the times constants of the controllers for each grid category including the demand of highest load transients occurring at EV charging. Finally, a suggestion is made of how these laboratory-based parameter findings should be introduced into a general standardization process.

# 2.2 Scope

In the laboratory two PV inverters will be powered by DC sources, emulating changing weather conditions, especially abrupt transient trigger by changing solar irradiance. Other transient inputs will be generated by different load, emulating EV charging stations. The medium voltage on load tab changers in the lab will complete the total analyzed control loop. The most critical combinations and superimpositions of PV and load transients together with several critical settings of the involved time constants will be tested by analyzing the response of the hardware in the loop. These sets of parameters will be attributed to a certain distribution grid category

#### 3 State-of-the-Art/State-of-Technology

Several DG grid codes give parameters so that the PV inverters have to fulfil the static Q(U) characteristic to control line voltage.

For example in Austria's VKW grid nearly 3000 PV inverters operated according the Q(U) control regime since more than three years successfully. Germany will also implement the Q(U) static characteristics in the new VDE-AR-N4105 regulation. The TC range will be given there between 6 and 60 s and a default value of 10s.

With the relative high values, or slow control response, the aim is to reduce the risk of instability. However, if stable operation are performed even at the state of the art PV inverters TC default values of 5 sec and values down to 2s, there is another benefit. Than the residual control, time of line voltage values above the final level is much smaller and the line voltage changes are much smaller, leading to a higher quality of voltage supply.

# 4 Executed Tests and Experiments

Experimental tests of the stability of the PV inverters Q(U) control have been examined in the AIT SmartEST lab in Vienna. One example was the request of active power of the DUT during an excerpt of a typical cloudy day in the Zurich area in April, performed in the lab, by applying the alteration of the calculated current voltage characteristics of a crystalline silicon PV generator onto a DC amplifier according to the measured solar irradiance characteristics. The voltage changes in the grid were analysing according to the produced reactive power of the inverter on the selected grid impedance. In detail, several measurement series with following devices were performed according to the chosen parameter settings.

- The photovoltaic power characteristics applied at the DC input of the commercial 27 kW PV inverter was performed by a 15kW DC amplifier as a function of PV generator power

   a) step function
  - b) based on real solar irradiance measurement daily weather profile 2 second interval
- The grid cables are emulated by a complex impedance equal to the long distance grid impedance which a typical PV plant is facing at the village boundary 550m away from the transformer
- Resistive loads turned off and on connected at the feeding station of the PV inverter
- A commercial substation transformer equipped with an OLTC able to change the line voltage in intervals of 1.5% of nominal AC voltage; the delay time constants of this controller
- PV inverter parameter settings:
  - a) static Q(U) characteristics with linear increase of Q at voltage V1 till max of Q at V2; the Q slope is adapted to a 100kW PV installation with a 550m distance to the substation in a the typical small village with a farmers house PV installation
  - b) the time constant of the Q(U) controller

The aim was to apply several combinations of above power flow in the grid, solar irradiance, load levels and substation voltage steps at different PV inverter static parameter settings to reach a point of instability.

Other outcomes during the experimental work in the laboratory improving the Q(U) operation in the distribution grid would be appreciated.

# 4.1 Test Plan

Different static Q(U) characteristics and values of the TC of the inverters Q(U) setting was configured and tested. Here the results are reported with the static Q(U) settings with a slope of 3% voltage rise resulting in the linear increase of reactive power from zero to the maximum, with a dead band of again 3% in between the positive and negative branches. [7]. The adjusted Q(U) time constants at the DUT is defined as first order filter in which the reactive power set point is reached after 1T (63 %) of a voltage step.

The tests are per-formed with different TC settings between 1 to 20 sec-onds and with and without the connected sub-station transformer equipped with OLTC control.

# 4.2 Standards, Procedures, and Methodology

Different definition of the timing parameter to be choosen by the PV inverter settings

- Time constant of total system response exponential characteristics, PT1 behavior Adjusted time constant usually 3r or 95% settled of total system response (VDE)
- Austrian TOR-D4 Standard: adjusted time constant 1r (63% settled)

The lab experiment settings are adapted to the voltage drop along the real impedance in the DG of the village Dettighofen, from a farmer's house with a 100kW PV installation, located on the village rand, to the transformer in the centre of that typical village at a distance of about 500m [2].

Thus, the voltage drop on the lab-impedance at the maximum active power of the DUT, which was smaller than 100KW, was comparable with the scaled slope of the static DUT Q(U) characteristics. In the Lab the impedance of 0.240 $\Omega$  and 0.43mH was used together with a PV inverter nominal power of 27kVA.



Figure 1 The choosen parameter settings in the AIT lab like slope of PV inverter dQ/dU and the used lab grid impedance in the laboratory relative to the applied nominal PV power of the inverter in the lab, was adapted to the real reduced DG of the village Dettighofen [2] with a PV power of 99kW at VK6 with an average impedance of  $0.109\Omega + j 0.039\Omega$  at a distance of about 500m to the transformer.

# 4.3 Test Set-up(s)

A commercial PV inverter is the Device-under-Test (DUT) of the experimental set-up in particular his implemented control regime of the line voltage based Q(U) method is tested as shown in Fig. 1 and 2. The direct current input of the PV inverter was feed by either as a change of active power or according the calculation of the current voltage characteristics of the PV generator according to the measured solar irradiance on a cloud day in the Zurich area. The goal was to measure instability occurring as oscillations of the line volt-age.



Figure 2: Engaged devices of the SmartEST labs to re-alise the stability test of the Q(V) feature of the PV inverter as the Hardware-under-Test (Hut).



Figure 3: Commercial smart secondary substation with OLTC as part of the SmartEST lab equipment embedded in the experiments.

# 4.4 Data Management and Processing

The DEWE hardware and software solution are used to acquire the electrical measurements of the three-phase power and voltage and first analyses are found by the generated plots of the DEWE Software. Further analyses are performed by the use of MATLAB.

#### 5 Results and Conclusions

# 4.1 Results of transient load and irradiance chances

In Fig. 3 results are given of a test applying by a step function of solar irradiance resulting in a nearly immediate rise of active power of the PV inverter followed by an immediate reduction in line voltage. In the linear regime of the static Q(U) characteristics this have to give rise to reactive power, according to the settings given by the TC time constant of the control system.

Smaller values of the Q(U) time constant leads to shorter periods the line voltage is above a given value, before it is compensated. The final value voltage value results from the delivered reactive power according to the DUT's static Q(U) characteristics, at the given grid impedance. All this measured step responses provided stable control conditions of the DUT.



**Figure 4**: Transient of active power feed into the grid by the DUT forcing reactive power according to demanded Q(U) control at different parameter settings of TC 0.5, 2, 5, 10 and 20 seconds and thus compensate the line voltage rise. While the graphs in the bottom shows the measured line voltage (blue) and the produced reactive power by the DUT, the above graphs represents the same measurement data plotted reactive power Q versus line voltage V<sub>L</sub>.

#### 4.2 Results of real weather data performance

The requested active power of the DUT during an excerpt of a typical cloudy day in the Zurich area in April, as shown in Fig. 4 is performed by applying the alteration of the calculated current voltage characteristics of a crystalline silicon PV generator onto a DC amplifier according to the measured solar irradiance characteristics.

In Fig. 5 the measured produced reactive power is given for three TC parameter settings and without Q(U) control. The characteristics of the measured line voltage versus time is shown just below.

How effective Q(U) may reduce the voltage rise is illustrated in Fig. 6 and 7 by sorting the voltage measurement according the total time the line voltage exceeds a certain value shown in % of the nominal voltage, which is given in function of minutes.

In detail the analysis performed at a threshold of 102.8% of nominal voltage yield 45% of the time without Q(U) and 3.4% at TC of 20 seconds, only 0.3% at TC 5 seconds and never above at a TC of one second.





**Figure 5**: The shown active power feed-in by the PV inverter into the grid is the result of the applied solar irradiance characteristics in the HIL setup as a function of DC amplifier output.

**Figure 6**: Thirty-minute voltage characteristics as a function of reactive power applied by a PV inverter during the same real solar irradiance conditions with parameter settings of 1, 5 and 20 seconds of the Q(U) controller and without Q(U) control.



**Figure 7**: Voltage duration curve over 30 minutes as a function of reactive power applied by a PV inverter during the same real solar irradiance conditions with parameter settings of 1, 5 and 20 seconds of the Q(U) controller and without Q(U) control.



**Figure 8**: Three-minute voltage characteristics as a function of reactive power applied by a PV inverter during the same real solar irradiance conditions with parameter settings of 1, 5 and 20 seconds of the Q(U) controller and without Q(U) control.

#### 4.3 Results including a substation

One solution to improve the DG voltage quality is the use of transformers to the next grid level with automatic operation of the OLTC to select the appropriate voltage at the output of the substation. If the voltage is higher than a certain threshold the OLTC will step down one interval as shown in Fig. 8. The implementation of a delay time of typ. larger 10 sec and algorithm to change voltage by only one-step, e.g., 1% of nominal voltage was intended as the base of a stable operation, not to activate the OLTC due to regular small fluctuations of irradiance. Larger steps of the tap-changer exceeding a regular smallest voltage interval will only occur at higher voltage changes, which will never be a results of Q(U) control activities. But what happened if the PV installer set the wrong sign of the static characteristics?

These assumptions and the theoretical work dedicated to the stability of Q(U), focusing on the inclusion of a dead time of the Q(U) control [7] leads to the test in the lab.



**Figure 9**: The voltage steps applied by the automatic tap changer after a certain delay time at the substation is superposed by the PV inverters automatic Q(U) control resulting in -dU Q(U).



**Figure 10**: Voltage characteristics (blue) and the position of the tap changer (blue dots) shown in the top and the characteristics of the delivered reactive (green) and active (orange) power by the DUT at a time constant setting of 2 seconds together with a load loss (blue below).

As shown in Fig. 9 if the voltage is above the threshold it needs to step down for the transformer to stay in the voltage band, due to counter action of the Q(U) voltage control if the signs of the static Q(U) settings are chosen well.

In principle in most of the cases both actors the tap-changer and the Q(U) control will both work against voltage changes occurring due to irradiance changes over one day as seen in Fig. 10.



**Figure 11**: The shown active power feed by the PV inverter into the grid is the result of the applied solar irradiance characteristics over 350 minutes in the HIL setup as a function of DC amplifier output.

The result of this is that about 90% of that period the voltage is within a bandwidth of about 1% as illustrated by Fig. 12. In detail in Fig. 11 also the number of switching actions of the tap changer is seen with the threshold values at 99 and 101%.

**Tab. 1** Duration in % of total period to be above/below the given voltage level around 100% including substation OLTC and Q(U) control.

| <b>in%</b><br>Q(U) | 100.5%     | >101%      | <99.5%    | <99      |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| aus<br>TC5         | 4.8<br>1.5 | 4.8<br>1.5 | 32.3<br>4 | 2.6<br>0 |
| TC25               | 1.4        | 1.4        | 21.3      | 0.2      |



**Figure 12**: Three-minute voltage characteristics as a function of reactive power applied by a PV inverter during the same real solar irradiance conditions with parameter settings of 1, 5 and 20 seconds time constant TC of the Q(U) controller and without Q(U) control.



**Figure 13**: Voltage duration curve over 350 minutes including a substation and reactive power applied by a PV inverter during the same real solar irradiance conditions with parameter settings of 5s and 20s time constant TC of the inverters Q(U) controller and without Q(U) control.

#### 4.4 Measured Oscillation of the line voltage

In principle oscillations expected only if at least to actors in a control loop work in the opposite direction. Like decreasing the voltage by the Q(U) control, due to a step up in irradiance, followed by an action of the OLTC, step down the voltage due to exceeding the threshold limit longer than the delay time.



**Figure 14**: Opposite sign of static Q(U) characteristic settings results in oscillation of the line voltage with a time constant of about 20 second, which represents twice the delay time constant of the OLTC setting.



**Figure 15**: Small line voltage oscillations at a period of about 15 seconds at maximum reactive power and low active power and at the apparent power limit of the inverter performed by increasing the DC voltage to reduce the maximum power tracing efficiency of the PV generator current voltage characteristics.

# Conclusion

The main outcome of the work was that the very cost-effective method of reducing the rise of line voltage due to changes of solar irradiance and load was always stable at regular settings of the PV inverter parameters.

An oscillation of the line voltage was observed for the uncommon practical case if the installer of a PV inverter mix up the sign of the static Q(U) characteristics. Thus the connected SUB station equipped with a OLTC will counteract the Q(U) voltage changes resulting an voltage oscillation with a time period of 20 sec depending on the delay time settings. However, the delay time constant of the transformer tap changer of above 10seconds is a very effective measure to remain in the stable voltage control regime of the inverters Q(U) of a smaller TC time constant. The recommendations to the grid operator is to inform and train the PV installers and make some sampling inspection. Additionally the parameters setting of the different PV inverters vendors should be harmonised or a simplified software solution should be implemented, for example based on SUNSPEC.

The wrong sign of the Q(U) static characteristic could also occur if the PV inverter settings are hacked and thus the connected sub-station transformer equipped with an automatic controlled tap-changer interacting operate with the inverters control regime in a positive feedback mode. It is recommended to the DG operator grid code to prescribe values of the PV inverter Q(U) time constant to be below 5 seconds resulting in reduced time intervals of overvoltage during the first moments when the Q(U) demands changes in the reactive power production.

Detailed tests were conducted applying different TC values of the inverters Q(U) control. It was found that smaller TC values reduces dramatically the transient overvoltage during the deviation control, as illustrated in Fig. 2. In detail the analysis performed at a threshold of 102.8% of nominal voltage yield 45% of the time without Q(U) and 3.4% at TC of 20 seconds, only 0.3% at TC 5 seconds and never above at a TC of one second. The following practical recommendations to the optimized PV inverters Q(U) operation are found:

• PV inverter time constant of Q(U) should be below 5 sec to reduce the overvoltage of the transient line voltage emerging during the control process of increasing the reactive power even if the final voltage level is below the maximum allowed line voltage.

• In combination with a substation transformer controlling the line voltage within an interval of typically 1.5% by the use of the tap changer, the additional PV inverter Q(U) control, operating in that narrow voltage interval, will benefit in lower numbers of tap changer activities during a typical cloudy day with changing solar irradiance.

• Harmonising the procedure of static parameter settings of different vendors of PV inverters have to be realised. It has to be paid attention how the time constant is executed by the inverter, as multiple definitions exist in different national grid codes. The time constant might be defined as the time reaching 1T (63%) or  $3\lambda$  (95%) of the required reactive power set point after a voltage step

# 6 Open Issues and Suggestions for Improvements

Test with a group of multiple PV inverters of larger nominal power and time constant settings below 1second could be performed in further Laboratory measurement series.

# 7 Dissemination Planning

Talks at dedicated international conferences:

- F. Baumgartner, F. Carigiet, C. Messner, C. Seitl, T. Strasser, R.Bründlinger; inv. talk at the Conference, Integration of Sustainable Energy, Nürnberg, Germany 18th July 2018, www.isenec.org
- C. Messner, F. Baumgartner, F. Carigiet, C. Seitl, T. Strasser, R.Bründlinger; inv. talk at the 35th EUPVSEC European PV Solar Conference, Brussels, 26th Sept 2018, www.photovoltaic-conference.com
- F. Baumgartner, Laboratory-based services for smart Grids: Best practices from the ERIGrid project, side event of the IRED2018 conference in Vienna, 16th Oct 2018

Results support also the running projects at ZHAW IEFE like

- Swiss Federal Office of Energy (SFOE) No SI/501370-01, CEVSOL cost effective solution
- IEA ISGAN Annex 5 (SIRFN) SMART GRID INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH FACILITY NET-WORK; under Swiss SFOE No. SI/501524-01

# 8 References

- [1] H. Farhangi, "The path of the smart grid," *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine,* Bd. 8, Nr. 1, pp. 18-28, 2010.
- [2] SmartGrids, "SmartGrids," [Online]. Available: www.smartgrids.eu. [Accessed 2009 03 15].

#### 9 Annex

## 9.1 List of Figures

No table of figures entries found. 9.2 List of Tables

No table of figures entries found.